Institutes of Health (USPHS-GX 17061)) the Eli Lilly Co. (Lilly Fellowship), and the Du Pont Co. (Young Faculty Grant) for support of this research. The mass spectroscopic data processing equipment employed in the present study was provided by XIH grants CA 11388 and GM 16864, from the Xational Cancer Institute and the National Institute of General Medical Sciences, respectively.

The Nickel(0)-Catalyzed Addition of Phenol to Butadiene

F. J. WEIGERT* AND W. C. DRINKARD

Contribution No. 1829 from the Central Research Department and Plastics Department, E. I. du Pont de Nemours and Company, Wilmington, Delaware 19898

Received September 22, 197.2

The **(organophosphorus)nickel(O)-catalyzed** reaction of phenol and butadiene gives mixtures of 3-phenoxy-lbutene, 1-phenoxy-2-butene, 3-phenoxy-1,7-octadiene, and 1-phenoxy-2,7-octadiene. The formation of phenoxy-
butenes is favored by electron-donor ligands, excess ligand, high phenol concentration, and low conversions. A butenes is favored by electron-donor ligands, excess ligand, high phenol concentration, and low conversions. A mechanism based on dual reaction pathways for an **(organophosphorus)nickel** intermediate is presented to explain these results.

Mechanistic understanding of transition metal catalyzed reactions is far behind other fields of chemistry. Recently elegant studies have elucidated some details by isolation and identification of intermediates in catalytic cycles.¹⁻³ Hopefully the concepts developed in such pioneering work can be broadly applied to related reactions.

Phenol reacts with butadiene in the presence of tetrakis (organophosphorus) nickel (0) to give 3-phenoxy-1-butene, trans-l-phenoxy-2-butene, 3-phenoxy-1,7-0~ tadiene, and *cis-* and *trans-*1-phenoxy-2,7-octadiene.⁴

The goal of this work was to optimize the formation of the phenoxybutenes, as palladium seems to be a superior catalyst for the synthesis of phenoxyoctadienes. $4,5$

Experimental Section

Analytical Runs. $-A$ Pyrex tube was sealed with a serum stopper and evacuated. Butadiene was distilled into the tube at -78° from a calibrated reservoir. Solutions of phenol in ether, nickelocene in benzene, and ligand were injected *via* syringe and the tube was sealed. The order of addition was immaterial. After warming to room temperature the tubes were heated and agitated in a thermostatted oven. After the desired reaction time the tubes were cooled to -78° and opened, and the contents were examined by gas chromatography on a 6 ft \times 0.25 in. column of

 20% silicone 200 supported on Gas-Chrom RA (60–80) at 180° and 75 ml/min. The retention times (minutes) follow: phenol, and 75 ml/min. The retention times (minutes) follow: phenol, 1.0; 3PB, 1.7; lPB, 2.7; 3P0, 7.2; and lPO, 11.7. Areas were calculated using triangular approximation of peak height times line width. Standards prepared using materials purified by preparative gas chromatography showed that area per cent calculated in this way corresponded closely to mole per cent. Precision is estimated at $\pm 3\%$ for duplicate runs; accuracy is undoubtedly lower.

Catalyst cycles are defined as moles of products per mole of nickel charged. The yield of the phenoxybutenes and phenoxyoctadienes is essentially quantitative based on phenol consumed.

Preparative Runs.-- A Hastelloy C bomb was charged under nitrogen with solutions of ligand, nickelocene, and phenol in ether. The bomb was sealed, evacuated, and charged with After the reaction was complete excess butadiene was vented and the remaining contents were discharged. The ether solution was extracted with sodium hydroxide until gc showed the absence of phenol. After removal of most of the solvent, the residue was distilled through a Nestor-Faust spin-
ning-band column at reduced pressure. Four fractions were ning-band column at reduced pressure. Four fractions were obtained: fraction 1, 3-phenoxy-l-butene, bp 37-40' (1 mm), *nZ6D* 1.5072 [lit.6 bp 43' (0.8 mm)]; fraction *2,* l-phenoxy-3 butene, bp 58-59[°] (1 mm), n^{26} p 1.5173 [lit.⁶ bp 87[°] (8 mm); fraction 3, **3-phenoxy-1,7-octadiene,** bp 87" (1 mm), *nZ6D* 1.5077; fraction **4, l-phenoxy-2,7-octadiene,** bp 104" (1 mm), *n25~* 1.5153. The proton nmr spectrum of fraction **4** suggested the presence of l5y0 cis and *85%* trans isomers.4 No attempt was made to separate these two compounds.

Phosphorus ligands and nickel(0) complexes were obtained from the same sources cited by Tolman.7

The results of the studies of several reaction variables are presented individually followed by discussion in terms of a single mechanistic proposal.

Temperature-Time.-Time studies at 100° with tetrakis(tripheny1phosphite)nickel catalyst showed that the reaction was essentially complete after 2 hr, and the product composition was unchanged on extended heating. Higher temperatures gave lower conversions to the four addition products and new peaks began to appear in the gas chromatograms. Although these products have not been isolated and identified, they may result from phenol alkylation rather than addition.⁴ Heating for 15 hr at 90° gave essentially identical yields and conversions as runs at 100°, but lower temperatures showed a sharp discontinuity. The product distribution at various temperatures for 15 hr is summarized in Table I while the product distribution as a function of time at 70" is given in Table **11.**

There is an induction period before the rapid formation of phenoxyoctadienes begins. The absolute amount of phenoxybutenes does not decline during this rapid formation of phenoxyoctadienes, but steadily increases. At low conversion the yield of 3PB is greater than that of lPB, but later the relative amount of 1PB increases.

⁽¹⁾ (a) Hydroformylation: R. F. Heck, *Aduan. OTganometal. Chern.,* **4,** 243 (1966). (b) Palladium-catalyzed oxidations: A. Aguiló, *ibid.*, **5**, 321 **(1867).**

⁽²⁾ (a) Rhodium-catalyzed ethylene dimerization: R. Cramer, *J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 87,* **4717 (1965).** (b) Nickel-catalyzed addltion of ethylene to butadiene: C. A. Tolman, *ibid.,* **92, 6777 (1970).**

⁽³⁾ For a review of the chemistry of butadiene with nickel(0) see P. Heirnbach, P. **W.** Jolly, and G. Wilke, "Advances in Organometallic Chem-

istry," Vol. 8, Academic Press, New York, N. Y., 1970, pp 29–86.
(4) E. J. Smutny, H. Chung, K. C. Dewhirst, W. Keim, T. M. Shryne, and H. E. Thyret, Amer. Chem. Soc., Div. Petrol. Chem., Prepr., 14 (2), **BlOO-BllI (1969);** H. Chung and **W.** Keim, **U.** S. Patent **3,636,162 (1969).**

⁽⁵⁾ Since the completion of this work, T. C. Shields and **W.** E. Walker, *Chem. Cornrnun.,* **193 (1971),** have described experiments in this area.

⁽⁶⁾ H. L. Goering and R. R. Jacobson, *J. Amer. Chem.* Soc., **80, 3277** (1958)

⁽⁷⁾ C. A. Tolman, *ibid.,* **92, 2956 (1970).**

Figure 1.-Postulated mechanism for the nickel (0) -catalyzed synthesis of phenoxybutenes and phenoxyoctadienes.

TABLE I TEMPERATURE EFFECTS"

	Catalyst	---Yield, %-----------							
Temp, ^o C	cycles	3PB	1PB	3PO	1PO				
64		58	25		16				
80	8	55	28	0	19				
90	71	14	10	9	68				
100	79	11	13	16	60				
113	55	13	12	10	65				
125	40	12	12	8	68				
151	20 ^b	8	24		68				

^a25 mmol of BD/10 mmol of phenol, 15 hr, 0.1 mmol of Xi- $[P(OC₆H₅)₃]$ ₄, ether solvent. ^b Plus many side products.

TABLE I1

EFFECT OF REACTION TIME ON PRODUCT DISTRIBUTION[®]

^{*a*} 10 mmol of C₆H_aOH, 25 mmol of BD, 0.1 mmol of $\text{Ni}(\text{C}_{5}\text{H}_{5})_{2}$, 0.4 mmol of $(C_6H_5O)_8P$, 70°, ether solvent.

Ligand to Metal Ratio.--Initially, preformed NiL4 species served as catalysts and excess ligand was added to stabilize an intermediate if excessive ligand dissociation was the mechanism of catalyst deactivation, Starting with nickel-olefin complexes, ligand-to-metal ratios lower than 4:l could be studied. The results of varying the ratio of triphenyl phosphite to nickelocene are shown in Table 111. The adducts do not form in the absence

TABLE **I11** EFFECT OF LIGAND TO METAL RATIO[®]

cycles	3PB	1PB	3PO	1PO
6	13	5		75
44	11	6	11	72
38	13	7	9	71
54	10	16	23	51
59	10	16	21	53
35	28	26	9	37
15	47	40	3	10
	Catalyst			—Yield, $\%$

^a 0.1 mmol of nickelocene, 25 mmol of BD, 10 mmol of C_6H_5OH , 100°, 15 hr, ether solvent.

of a phosphorus ligand. As triphenyl phosphite is initially added, the major products are phenoxyoctadienes, and up to 8 equiv of ligand does not significantly change this product distribution, although the ratio of linear to branched products is affected. Excess ligand slows the reaction and increases the

TABLE IV

EFFECT OF REACTANT RATIOS ON PRODUCT DISTRIBUTION [®]
--

^a Solvent Et₂O, 100°, 15 hr.

yield of phenoxybutenes. When runs with large excesses of ligand are cooled, tetrakis (triphenyl phosphite)nickel precipitates from solution.

Reactant Ratios.-As the ratio of butadiene to phenol is increased, the phenoxyoctadienes comprise a larger proportion of the product; however, above **3** equiv of butadiene per phenol little change in the product distribution occurs. Table IV gives the results of varying the ratio of phenol to butadiene with tetrakis(triphenyl and triethyl phosphite)nickel catalysts.

Ligand Effects.--Table V gives the product distribution with different phosphorus ligands. For triphenyl phosphite the yields and conversion are identical whether the catalyst was preformed or prepared *in situ* from either nickelocene or bis(cyclooctadiene)nickel and the ligand. Preformed complexes were required for triethyl phosphite and all phosphines. For the other ligands listed *in, situ* preparation was apparently adequate. Phosphines and phosphonites give high yields of phenoxybutenes. Phosphines favor 3PO while phosphonites favor 1PO. Phosphinites and phosphites give high yields of phenoxyoctadienes. By varying *only* the ligand, any of three compounds can be the major product.

Mixtures of ligands give intermediate product distributions. Addition of triphenyl phosphite to **tetrakis(tripheny1phosphine)** nickel or triphenylphosphine to tetrakis(tripheny1 phosphite) nickel gave essentially the same product distribution.

Solvent.--Diethyl ether was generally used, but the reaction proceeded well in hydrocarbons or with no added solvent. Protonic solvents promote the formation of octatrienes. Halogenated solvents such as carbon tetrachloride or chloroform were unsatisfactory, possibly because of oxidation of the nickel (0) species. Traces of water inhibit this reaction and all reagents Traces of water inhibit this reaction and all reagents and reaction vessels must be dried.

Equilibration.-Heating solutions of either phenoxybutene in ether with catalytic amounts of tetrakis(tripheny1 phosphite) nickel establishes an equilibrium of 65% 1PB and 35% 3PB. Some leakage to the phenoxyoctadienes and butadiene dimers and phenol occurs, but the equilibrium could be established from both Equilibration was much slower than the addition reaction, requiring several days at 100° with 1 mol % catalyst.
The phenoxybutenes are not equilibrated if butadiene is present, nor are phenoxyoctadienes formed. Attempts to equilibrate the phenoxyoctadienes gave only octatrienes and phenol.

Discussion

Figure 1 summarizes the mechanism postulated for the tetrakis(organophosphorus)nickel-catalyzed reaction of phenol with butadiene. The initial steps leading to complex 1 are probably identical with those postulated by Tolman in the nickel(0)-catalyzed addition of ethylene to butadiene.2 The eubsequent steps must differ because in Tolman's mechanism the nickel becomes bonded to the ethylene carbons carbons in the newly coupled product. The π -allyl complex 1 may react with phenoxide anion and lose phenoxybutene to reform the nickel(0) species. This intermediate can react in either of two ways to produce two interwoven catalytic cycles. Protonation continues the phenoxybutene reaction.

		LIGAND EFFECTS ON PRODUCT DISTRIBUTION [®]					
Phosphorus ligand PR ₁ R ₂ R ₃ -			Catalyst	Yield %			
R_1	$\rm R_2$	R_3	cycles	3PB	1PB	3PO	1PO
OC_6H_5	$O C_6 H_5$	OC_6H_5	74	10	12	18	60
$\rm{C_6H_5}$	$\mathrm{OC}_6\mathrm{H}_5$	$\mathrm{OC}_6\mathrm{H}_5$	80	9	13	22	55
C_6H_5	C_6H_5	OC_6H_5	62	29	22	16	33
C_6H_5	C_6H_5	C_6H_5	36	71	9		12
C_6H_5	$\rm{C_6H_5}$	$\rm OC_2H_5$	45	27	46	8	19
C_6H_5	$\mathrm{OC_2H_5}$	$\mathrm{OC_2H}_5$	48	25	45	9	21
OC ₂ H ₅	$\rm OC_2H_{\ddot{\circ}}$	$\rm OC_2H_5$	80	21	35	9	31
C_6H_5	C_6H_5	OCH ₃	67	21	34	12	32
C_6H_5	OCH ₃	OCH ₃	51	47	28	8	18
C_6H_5	$O-n-C4H0$	$O-n-C4H9$	59	22	41	9	27
C_6H_5	$O-i-C_3H_7$	$O-1-C3H7$	54	23	41	10	26
$(O(4-OCH_3C_6H_4))_3$			66	9	17	21	52
CH ₃	CH ₃	CH ₃	36	74	13	8	4
CH ₃	$O C_6 H_5$	OC ₆ H ₅	34	44	39	4	13
C_2H_5	$O C_6 H_6$	OC_6H_5	51	13	23	21	43

TABLE V LIGAND EFFECTS ON PRODUCT DISTRIBUTIONS

^a 25 mmol of BD, 10 mmol of C_6H_5OH , 0.1 mmol of Ni, 0.4 mmol of ligand, ether solvent, 100°, 15 hr.

Alternatively, reaction with butadiene may begin a catalytic cycle leading to phenoxyoctadienes. quence of steps leading to Wilke intermediate 2 is straightforward.⁸ At this point ring closure can give vinylcyclohexene or 1,5-cyclooctadiene. Protonation of the nickel followed by the transfer of the proton to the interior position of the allyl ligand leads to an intermediate analogous to 1. Elimination of a nickel hydride would form linear butadiene dimers.³ The steps leading to the phenoxyoctadienes are now similar to those which convert 1 to phenoxybutenes.

Several observations suggest that the phenoxybutenes and phenoxyoctadienes are formed in separate, yet interrelated pathways. Both phenoxybutenes have methyl groups while neither of the phenoxyoctadienes has a methyl group, either terminal or internal. It is very difficult to conceive of a mechanism leading from π -allyl complex 1 to a product which does not contain a methyl group. The concentration of phenoxybutenes never declines during the reaction, though if the phenoxybutenes were intermediates in the formation of phenoxyoctadienes, their concentration would be expected to reach a maximum at some point. The phenoxybutenes do not react with butadiene under the reaction conditions to give phenoxyoctadienes.

Two competing reactions for a coordinatively unsaturated nickel(0) species are postulated to determine the course of the reaction.

course of the reaction.
\nPhenoxy-
$$
\pi
$$
-Crotyl H⁺
\nbutenes \leftarrow complex \leftarrow Ni(0) \longrightarrow complex \longrightarrow Phenoxy-
\nbutadienes

The effects of the relative concentrations of phenol and butadiene are easily seen; the effects of reaction time and ligand are more subtle.

The equilibrium constant for the protonation of an organometallic complex depends on the protonating

$$
H^+X^- + ML_n \rightleftharpoons H^+ML_nX^-
$$

species, the metal, and its associated ligands. The equilibrium constant for the protonation of tetrakis-

(phosphorus)nickel(O) correlates with the CO stretching frequency of Ni(CO)₈L and has the order $P(C_6H_5)$ $P(OC_2H_5)_3 > P(OC_6H_5)_3$.⁸ Nickel is more basic than palladium,⁹ which favors phenoxybutene formation. Initially a large proportion of the nickel may be protonated, thus forming phenoxybutenes. From the behavior of the product distributions with time the rate of the cycle producing phenoxyoctadienes appears to be faster than the cycle producing phenoxybutenes, though in the absence of data on the relative amounts of the various forms of nickel(0) actually present, absolute rate factors cannot be determined.

The π -allyl complex 1 has two ligands per nickel, while the Wilke intermediate 2 has only one. If the steps leading to **2** are reversible until the two butadienes are coupled, excess ligand would favor phenoxybutene formation.

Conclusion

Phenoxybutenes can be made the main product of the nickel(0)-catalyzed addition of phenol to butadiene by the use of excess phosphorus ligands, good electrondonor ligands, or a high ratio of phenol to butadiene. Phosphine ligands produce a high yield of 3-phenoxy-lbutene in a kinetically controlled process while phosphonites, phosphinites, and phosphites produce phenoxybutenes in proportions approaching thermodynamic equilibrium.

Registry No. -Kickel, 7440-02-0; phenol, 108-95-2; butadiene, 106-99-0; 3-phenoxy-l-butene, 22509-78-0; l-phenoxy-3-butene, 2653-89-6; 3-phenoxy-] ,7-octadiene, 15972-91-5; 1-phenoxy-2,7-octadiene, 13846-**40-7.**

Acknowledgments.-We wish to thank C. A. Tolman and G. W. Parshall for valuable discussions.

(9) C. **A.** Tolman, W. C. Seidel, and D. H. Gerlach, *J. Amer. Chem.* **Soc., 94, 2669** (1972).

⁽⁸⁾ c. **A.** Tolman, submitted for publication in *Inorg. Chsm.*